

**To:** Richard Mylott/R8/USEPA/US@EPA[]  
**From:** Adam Voge <Adam.Voge@Trib.Com>  
**Sent:** Fri 11/9/2012 10:02:10 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Returning your email  
<mailto:Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>  
<ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/FieldActivityLogC.pdf>  
[http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA\\_ReportOnPavillion\\_Dec-8-2011.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA_ReportOnPavillion_Dec-8-2011.pdf)  
<ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/DailyLogsFromDrillersJunSep2010.pdf>  
<ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/FieldActivityLogE.pdf>  
[adam.voge@trib.com](mailto:adam.voge@trib.com)  
[www.trib.com](http://www.trib.com)  
[image001.gif](#)

Sorry, my mistake on "spill" vs. "lost." An important distinction to make, thanks.

Any story we put out would be solely based on these notes, it seems. Basically some are criticizing the EPA specifically for these notes, which they've interpreted to mean there were diesel and maybe other spills during construction. As you know, the EPA's methods and data have been questioned publicly before, and these criticisms are along the same lines.

I'll talk to my editors and see what we'll plan to do.

Thanks,

Adam Voge

Energy/Business Reporter, Casper(Wyo.) Star-Tribune

307-266-0561

From: Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 2:47 PM  
To: Adam Voge  
Subject: RE: Returning your email

Adam--is your story about this solely? if so, any room to wait? On background: I spent some time on this but will need til next week to get in touch with folks familiar who worked in field and with contractors. i'm looking at these notations and, as i'm sure you understand, need to check with field staff about what they mean and don't mean. unless i'm missing something?-- which is entirely possible as some of these notes

are pretty rough--i don't see any reference to "spill" anywhere.

Richard Mylott  
Public Affairs Specialist  
Office of Communications and Public Involvement  
U.S.Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8  
Phone: 303-312-6654

Adam Voge ---11/09/2012 02:08:35 PM---Thanks. Let me know what we are able to clarify or talk about today. I'm definitely interested in th

From: Adam Voge <Adam.Voge@Trib.Com>  
To: Richard Mylott/R8/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/09/2012 02:08 PM  
Subject: RE: Returning your email

Thanks.

Let me know what we are able to clarify or talk about today. I'm definitely interested in the nature of the diesel spill seemingly indicated below and why that wasn't in the draft report, and then whether there actually was antifreeze or cement spilled (referred to as "accusations," it appears).

Adam Voge  
Energy/Business Reporter, Casper(Wyo.) Star-Tribune  
307-266-0561

From: Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mylott.Richard@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, November 09, 2012 12:58 PM  
To: Adam Voge  
Subject: Re: Returning your email

Thanks, Adam-- looking into these. These are clarifies that would need to come from field staff, including folks in our lab in Oklahomathat aren't in the office today.

Richard Mylott  
Public Affairs Specialist  
Office of Communications and Public Involvement  
U.S.Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8  
Phone: 303-312-6654

Adam Voge ---11/09/2012 11:38:46 AM---Richard, The reply button sent my response to a different address - just wanted to be sure this got

From: Adam Voge <Adam.Voge@Trib.Com>  
To: Richard Mylott/R8/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 11/09/2012 11:38 AM  
Subject: Returning your email

Richard,

The reply button sent my response to a different address – just wanted to be sure this got through. Here's what I sent:

I was looking through the field notes from the Pavillion well installation and saw a couple things which I'd like some clarification on.

<ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/FieldActivityLogC.pdf>

On the above link, first page, bottom-right quadrant, there's a passage that appears to say "diesel fuel lost from fitting, repaired."

In the original EPA draft report, [http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA\\_ReportOnPavillion\\_Dec-8-2011.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/region8/superfund/wy/pavillion/EPA_ReportOnPavillion_Dec-8-2011.pdf), it said this:

"There were no incidents of fuel spillage used to power pumps and generators."

Next, this link:

<ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/DailyLogsFromDrillersJunSep2010.pdf>

If you scroll about three-quarters of the way down to Aug. 17, there's a passage where it talks about investigation accusations of antifreeze and cement spills (or at least that's what it looks like).

Last, this: <ftp://ftp.epa.gov/r8/pavilliondocs/WellDrillingInformation/DrillingLogsAndCuttingsDescription/FieldActivityLogE.pdf>  
About halfway down, Aug. 18 notes, there's a mention of a "clean up effort."

Can we talk on the phone about this? That's definitely my preference with something like this. I'm just trying to figure out what these different passages mean.

For the record, this email is typically a better way to contact me. The other is a personal address tied to my cell phone, which is great when I'm out of the office.

Thanks,

Adam Voge  
Energy/Business Reporter, Casper(Wyo.) Star-Tribune  
(307) 266-0561 | [adam.voge@trib.com](mailto:adam.voge@trib.com)  
Twitter: [@vogeCST](https://twitter.com/vogeCST)  
[www.trib.com](http://www.trib.com)